

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	10/720,323 FILING DATE 11/24/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,323			Jill Giles-Komar	CEN 249CIPNP	
27777	7590	11/02/2005	RECEIVED EXAMIN		INER
	PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA		KECEIVED	HADDAD, MAHER M	
			NOV 0 4 2005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			1644		

J&J PAT. DKT. SECTION

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



2 5 2005 E	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/720,323	GILES-KOMAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maher M. Haddad	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature is not provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply in Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONII, by statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. repty be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
•	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a andlas alactics samuisament	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	* · ·	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the control of the contr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	0	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority d		
3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	t received.
Control detailed Cities action	a not or the contined copies no	

Attachment(s)

1)	Notice of	References	Cited	(PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

6)	Oth	er	:

Application/Control Number: 10/720,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-27, 52-53 and 55-58, drawn to an isolated monoclonal antibody which binds to human alpha V integrin subunit, immunoconjugates, pharmaceutical compositions thereof, and an article of manufacture comprising; classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3, and 391.1; Class 424, subclass 133.1; Class 435, subclass 810.
- II. Claims 28-35, 59-63, 65-66, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding the antibody which binds to human alpha V integrin subunit; vectors, host cells, and methods of producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.5; Class 435, subclasses 69.1, 455, 252.3, and 320.1.
- III. Claims 36, drawn to a transgenic nonhuman animal which expresses a human antibody which binds to human alpha V integrin subunit; classified in Class 800, subclasses 8.
- IV. Claims 37-50, drawn to a method of inhibiting growth of a cell expressing alpha v integrin subunit or a method of treating or preventing a disease characterized by growth or metastasis of tumor cells comprising contacting the cell with an antibody which binds to alpha v integrin subunit; classified in Class 424, subclass 144.1.
- V. Claim 51, drawn to a medical device comprising at least one isolated human antialpha-V subunit, classified in Class 623, subclass 1.
- VI. Claim 54, drawn to a method for producing at least one isolated human anti-alpha v integrin subunit antibody comprising a host cell or transgenic animal or transgenic plant or plant cell capable of expressing recoverable amount, classified in Class 435, subclass 455 and 326.
- VII. Claim 64, drawn to an anti-idiotype antibody or fragment that specifically binds at least one isolated human anti-alpha-V subunit antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.2.
- 2. Groups I, II, III, V and VII are different products. Nucleic acids, polypeptides, and antibodies to the polypeptides, medical devices and anti-idiotype antibody differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 3. Groups IV and VI are different methods. A method of treating or preventing and a method of producing differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 1644

4. Groups I and IV are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group I can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the methods of treating and detecting recited.

5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.

## Species Election

6. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

If Group IV is elected, applicant is required to elect a method of treating or preventing a disease wherein the disease is such the one recited in claim 41. These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the

Art Unit: 1644

election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brower and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner

Art Unit: 1644

can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

In formation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 24, 2005

Maher Haddad, Ph.D.

Maher Haddad

Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600